

- there exists an obligation to pursue in good faith and bring to a conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.” In a separate vote, that paragraph was approved by a vote of 168 to three (United States, Russia, Israel) with five abstentions (including France and Britain).
- 5 A/RES/55/33C, para. 18. The resolution was adopted by a vote of 154 in support (including China, Britain, United States) to three opposed (India, Israel, Pakistan) with eight abstentions (including France and Russia).
  - 6 See “Verification of nuclear disarmament: final report on studies into the verification of nuclear warheads and their components,” working paper submitted by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the 2005 NPT Review Conference, NPT/CONF.2005/WP.1, and previous working papers cited therein. Online at [http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/legal/npt/RevCon05/wp/verification\\_UK.pdf](http://www.reachingcriticalwill.org/legal/npt/RevCon05/wp/verification_UK.pdf).
  - 7 Committee on International Security and Arms Control, National Academy of Sciences, *Monitoring Nuclear Weapons and Nuclear-Explosive Materials: An Assessment of Methods and Capabilities*, 2005. Online at <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11265.html>.
  - 8 *Id.* pp. 219-220.
  - 9 International Panel on Fissile Materials, *Global Fissile Material Report 2006*, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 2006, pp. 51-56.
  - 10 *Program Statement*, Global Action to Prevent War, New York, 2003, p.13. Online at <http://globalactionpw.org/prev/GlobalAction403.pdf>.
  - 11 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 183.
  - 12 Stephen G. Rademaker, U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for Arms Control, “U.S. Compliance With Article VI of the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT),” Remarks at a Panel Discussion of the Arms Control Association, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington, D.C., February 3, 2005. Online at [http://armscontrol.org/events/20050203\\_rademaker\\_text.asp](http://armscontrol.org/events/20050203_rademaker_text.asp). For rebuttal of this claim, see section 1.2. See also “Compliance Assessment: The NPT Declared Nuclear Weapon States,” Part Three, Civil Society Presentation to the 2005 NPT Review Conference, Lawyers’ Committee on Nuclear Policy and Western States Legal Foundation, May 2005. Online at <http://lcnp.org/disarmament/npt/ArtVIcompliance.pdf>.

## Section 4.1: The Word as Arrow

- 1 Václav Havel, “A Word About Words,” Acceptance Speech for the Peace Prize of the German Booksellers Association, Oct. 15, 1989. Online at <http://www.vaclavhavel.cz/index.php?sec=2&id=1&setln=2>.
- 2 For details, consult the Natural Resource Defense Council’s Archive of Nuclear Data at <http://www.nrdc.org/nuclear/nudb/datainx.asp>, and Robert Norris and Hans Kristensen, “U.S. nuclear forces, 2007,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, January/February 2007, pp. 79-82.
- 3 See M.V. Ramana, “Bombing Bombay? Effects of Nuclear Weapons and a Case Study of a Hypothetical Explosion,” *IPPNW Global Health Watch*, No. 3, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1999.
- 4 See *section 1.2*. The Court unanimously concluded that: “There exists an

- obligation to pursue in good faith and bring to a conclusion negotiations on nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.” (Nuclear Weapons Opinion, para. 105(2)F)
- 5 McGraw-Hill, 1965. For a discussion of the term “sufficiency” in this context, see Edward Morgan’s letter to the New York Review of Books, June 5, 1969. Online at <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/11296>.
  - 6 Cf. Walter Dorn, “Human Security: An Overview.” Online at [http://www.rmc.ca/academic/gradrech/dorn24\\_e.html](http://www.rmc.ca/academic/gradrech/dorn24_e.html). See also The Hague Agenda for Peace and Justice in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. online at <http://haguepeace.org/resources/HagueAgendaPeace+Justice4The21stCentury.pdf>.
  - 7 See <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thule>.

## Section 4.2: A Gender Perspective

- 1 Carol Cohn, Felicity Hill, and Sara Ruddick, “The Relevance of Gender for Eliminating Weapons of Mass Destruction,” *Weapons of Mass Destruction Commission*, published study No. 38, Stockholm, December 2005 (“Cohn”).
- 2 *Weapons of Terror*, pp. 18, 43-44.
- 3 *Id.* p. 87.
- 4 *Cohn*, p. 3.
- 5 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 35. Here, the Commission actually says states might seek WMD, not nuclear weapons, to enhance their status, but it later says possessing biological weapons “would not enhance the status of any state.” *Id.*, p. 40. As chemical weapons are outlawed like biological weapons, presumably the WMD to which the Commission is referring are nuclear weapons.

## Section 4.3: Redefining Security in Human Terms

- 1 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 24.
- 2 *Id.*, p. 161 (emphasis supplied).
- 3 *Id.*, p. 160 (emphasis supplied).
- 4 *Id.* (emphasis supplied).
- 5 Don Oberdorfer, “Strategy for Solo Superpower; Pentagon Looks to ‘Regional Contingencies,’” *The Washington Post*, May 19, 1991. Online (for purchase) at <http://www.highbeam.com/doc/1P2-1065534.html>.
- 6 See <http://www.abolition2000.org>.
- 7 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 109.
- 8 See section 2.2.
- 9 See <http://www.unitedforpeace.org>.
- 10 See <http://www.mayorsforpeace.org>.
- 11 *Call From Social Movements For Mobilizations Against The War, Neoliberalism, Exploitation And Exclusion Another World Is Possible*, World Social Forum, Porto Alegre Brazil, January 2005. Online at [http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br/dinamic.php?pagina=decl\\_mov\\_soc\\_2005\\_in](http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br/dinamic.php?pagina=decl_mov_soc_2005_in).
- 12 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 109.
- 13 *Weapons of Terror* does note in its final section, on p. 183, “The perspective of a world free of WMD must be supplemented by the perspective of a world in which the arsenals of conventional weapons have been reduced drastically.”

See section 3.3 for additional discussion on this point.

- 14 James Sterngold, "Los Alamos scientist criticizes federal approach to arsenal," *San Francisco Chronicle*, February, 13, 2007 (emphasis supplied). Online at <http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2007/02/13/MNGI1O3N0G1.DTL>.
- 15 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 22 (emphasis supplied).
- 16 Statement by H.E. Mr. Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva, President of the Federative Republic of Brazil, at the General Debate of the 61st Session of the United Nations General Assembly, New York, 19 September 2006, p. 2 (emphasis supplied). Online at <http://www.un.org/webcast/ga/61/pdfs/brasil-e.pdf>.
- 17 Dr. Oscar Arias Sánchez, President of the Republic of Costa Rica, "The Brightest Future We Could Dream Of," Statement before the 61st Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations, New York, September 19, 2006 ("Sánchez," emphasis supplied). Online at [http://www.un.org/webcast/ga/61/pdfs/costa\\_rica-e.pdf](http://www.un.org/webcast/ga/61/pdfs/costa_rica-e.pdf).
- 18 Alva Myrdal, *The Game of Disarmament; How the United States and Russia Run the Arms Race*, Pantheon Books, New York, 1976, p. xvii.
- 19 Stephen I. Schwartz, "Overview of Project Findings," The Hidden Costs of Our Nuclear Arsenal, June 30, 1998. Online at <http://www.brook.edu/fp/projects/nucwcost/schwartz.htm>.
- 20 Brian Ellison, "Overview of Fiscal Year 2008 Department of Energy Budget Request," Center for Defense Information, February 8, 2007. Online at <http://www.cdi.org/friendlyversion/printversion.cfm?documentID=3831>.
- 21 Dr. Robert Civiak, "Still At It: An Analysis of the Department of Energy's Fiscal Year 2007 Budget Request for Nuclear Weapons Activities," Tri-Valley CAREs, (undated), p. 1. Online at <http://www.trivalleycares.org/DOEFY07WeaponsBudg.pdf>.
- 22 Robert S. Norris, Hans M. Kristensen, Christopher E. Paine, *Nuclear Insecurity: A Critique of the Bush Administration's Nuclear Weapons Policies*, Natural Resources Defense Council, New York, September 2004, p. 10. Online at <http://nrdc.org/nuclear/insecurity/critique.pdf>.
- 23 Christopher Hellman, "U.S. Military Spending vs. the World," Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation, February 06, 2006 ("Hellman"). Online at <http://www.armscontrolcenter.org/archives/002244.php>.
- 24 Barbara Crossette, "Kofi Annan's Astonishing Facts," *The New York Times*, September 27, 1998. Online at <http://web.mit.edu/d-lab/Readings/Kofi-facts.pdf>.
- 25 Petter Stålenheim, Damien Fruchart, Wuyi Omitoogun and Catalina Perdomo, "Chapter 8. Military expenditure," *SIPRI Yearbook 2006: Armaments, Disarmament and International Security*, SIPRI, Stockholm, June 2006. Online at <http://yearbook2006.sipri.org/chap8>.
- 26 *Hellman*.
- 27 Friends Committee on National Legislation, *Washington Newsletter*, No. 705, March 2006, p. 5. Online at <http://www.fcnl.org/now/pdf/2006/mar06.pdf>.
- 28 *Id.*, p. 1.
- 29 William D. Hartung, "Bush Proposes Largest Military Budget Since World War II", World Policy Institute, February 7, 2007. Online at <http://www.worldpolicy.org/projects/arms/updates/020707.html>.
- 30 *Sánchez*.
- 31 Franklin Roosevelt's Annual Address to Congress - The "Four Freedoms",

- January 6, 1941 (emphasis supplied). Online at <http://www.fdrlibrary.marist.edu/od4frees.html>.
- 32 J. Kirk Boyd, "Path to a world free from want and fear," *San Francisco Chronicle*, February 12, 2004. Online at <http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2004/02/12/EDG704UJS11.DTL>.
- 33 "International cooperation at a crossroads: Aid, trade and security in an unequal world," *Human Development Report 2005*, United Nations Development Program, New York, 2005, p. 94. Online at [http://hdr.undp.org/reports/global/2005/pdf/HDR05\\_chapter\\_3.pdf](http://hdr.undp.org/reports/global/2005/pdf/HDR05_chapter_3.pdf).
- 34 See <http://www.globalissues.org/TradeRelated/Debt/USAid.asp#ForeignAidNumbersinChartsandGraphs>.
- 35 Frida Berrigan and William D. Hartung, with Leslie Heffel, *U.S. Weapons at War 2005: Promoting Freedom of Fueling Conflict? U.S. Military Aid and Arms Transfers Since September 11*, A World Policy Institute Special Report, June 2005. Online at <http://www.worldpolicy.org/projects/arms/reports/wawjune2005.html#17>.
- 36 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., "Beyond Vietnam", address delivered to the Clergy and Laymen Concerned about Vietnam, at Riverside Church, New York City, April 4, 1967. Online at <http://www.tanbou.com/2003/BeyondVietnam.htm>.
- 37 Personal recollections of the author. These concepts are discussed in a paper, Mahbub ul-Haq, "Human Rights, Security and Governance," *Peace & Policy Journal of the Toda Institute for Global Peace and Policy Research*, Fall/Winter 1998.
- 38 Louis Fischer, ed., *The Essential Gandhi: His Life, Work and Ideas*, Vintage Books, New York, 1962, pp. 335-336. Original citation: *Harijan* July 7, 1946.
- 39 *Id.*, p. 336. Original citation: *Harijan*, March 2, 1940.
- 40 *Id.*, p. 336. Original citation: *Young India*, October 8, 1925.
- 41 Jayantha Dhanapala, UN Under-Secretary-General for Disarmament Affairs, "A Future Arms Control and Disarmament Agenda," 1999 Olof Palme Memorial Lecture, Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, Stockholm, 30 September 1999 ("*Dhanapala*," emphasis supplied). Online at <http://disarmament.un.org/speech/30Sept1999.htm>.
- 42 See for example, Dr. Jürgen Scheffran, "Strengthening International Security Through International Law: The Case of Nuclear, Missile and Space Weapons," February 24, 2006, p. 5. Online at [http://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/programs/international-law/annual-symposium/2006\\_papers/scheffran-jurgen\\_napf-2006-international-law-symposium.pdf](http://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/programs/international-law/annual-symposium/2006_papers/scheffran-jurgen_napf-2006-international-law-symposium.pdf).
- 43 For additional discussion see John Burroughs and Jacqueline Cabasso, "Confronting the Nuclear-Armed States in International Negotiating Forums: Lessons for NGOs," *International Negotiation*, 4, pp. 457-480, 1999, Kluwer Law International. Online (for purchase) at <http://www.springerlink.com/content/13bxc2fr7kngkb1b/>.
- 44 This section draws on the writings of Andrew Lichterman. See for example, *Some Thoughts about the Path to Abolition of Nuclear Weapons and Strategies for Organizing in the United States*, Western States Legal Foundation Working Paper #1, April 1999, online at <http://www.wslfweb.org/docs/wp1.pdf>; *Up from the Concrete: Making Connections and Building Coalitions for a U.S. Movement to Abolish Nuclear Weapons*, Western States Legal Foundation Working Paper #2, January 2000. Online at <http://www.wslfweb.org/docs/wp2.pdf>; and *Think Tanks, Disarmament, and Peace Movements in the U.S.: A view*

*from the Grassroots*, August 2004, English language version of an article which appeared in the journal *Wissenschaft und Frieden* (Science and Peace). Online at <http://marginalnotes.org/wp-admin/docs/Thinktanks.html>.

- 45 Howard Zinn, "Are We Politicians or Citizens?" *The Progressive*, May 2007 (emphasis supplied). Online at <http://www.progressive.org/node/4668>.
- 46 *Dhanapala*.
- 47 Chris Abbott, Paul Rogers and John Sloboda, *Global Responses to Global Threats; Sustainable Security for the 21st Century*, Oxford Research Group, London, June 2006 (emphasis supplied). Online at [http://www.oxfordresearchgroup.org.uk/publications/briefing\\_papers/globalthreats.php](http://www.oxfordresearchgroup.org.uk/publications/briefing_papers/globalthreats.php). See also, *Warfare or Welfare? Disarmament for Development in the 21st Century: A Human Security Approach*, The International Peace Bureau, October 2005. Online at <http://www.ipb.org/web/seccion.php?tipus=Programmes-Disarmament-Development>.