

- obligation to pursue in good faith and bring to a conclusion negotiations on nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.” (Nuclear Weapons Opinion, para. 105(2)F)
- 5 McGraw-Hill, 1965. For a discussion of the term “sufficiency” in this context, see Edward Morgan’s letter to the New York Review of Books, June 5, 1969. Online at <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/11296>.
 - 6 Cf. Walter Dorn, “Human Security: An Overview.” Online at http://www.rmc.ca/academic/gradrech/dorn24_e.html. See also The Hague Agenda for Peace and Justice in the 21st Century. online at <http://haguepeace.org/resources/HagueAgendaPeace+Justice4The21stCentury.pdf>.
 - 7 See <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thule>.

Section 4.2: A Gender Perspective

- 1 Carol Cohn, Felicity Hill, and Sara Ruddick, “The Relevance of Gender for Eliminating Weapons of Mass Destruction,” *Weapons of Mass Destruction Commission*, published study No. 38, Stockholm, December 2005 (“Cohn”).
- 2 *Weapons of Terror*, pp. 18, 43-44.
- 3 *Id.* p. 87.
- 4 *Cohn*, p. 3.
- 5 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 35. Here, the Commission actually says states might seek WMD, not nuclear weapons, to enhance their status, but it later says possessing biological weapons “would not enhance the status of any state.” *Id.*, p. 40. As chemical weapons are outlawed like biological weapons, presumably the WMD to which the Commission is referring are nuclear weapons.

Section 4.3: Redefining Security in Human Terms

- 1 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 24.
- 2 *Id.*, p. 161 (emphasis supplied).
- 3 *Id.*, p. 160 (emphasis supplied).
- 4 *Id.* (emphasis supplied).
- 5 Don Oberdorfer, “Strategy for Solo Superpower; Pentagon Looks to ‘Regional Contingencies,’” *The Washington Post*, May 19, 1991. Online (for purchase) at <http://www.highbeam.com/doc/1P2-1065534.html>.
- 6 See <http://www.abolition2000.org>.
- 7 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 109.
- 8 See section 2.2.
- 9 See <http://www.unitedforpeace.org>.
- 10 See <http://www.mayorsforpeace.org>.
- 11 *Call From Social Movements For Mobilizations Against The War, Neoliberalism, Exploitation And Exclusion Another World Is Possible*, World Social Forum, Porto Alegre Brazil, January 2005. Online at http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br/dinamic.php?pagina=decl_mov_soc_2005_in.
- 12 *Weapons of Terror*, p. 109.
- 13 *Weapons of Terror* does note in its final section, on p. 183, “The perspective of a world free of WMD must be supplemented by the perspective of a world in which the arsenals of conventional weapons have been reduced drastically.”